

CWP No. 5131 of 2015
Date of Decision: 20.03.2015

AMANDEEP KAUR

..... **Petitioner**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI H J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner in pursuance to an advertisement issued by the respondent, applied for the post of TGT Physical Education. Final merit list dated 15.10.2014 was issued on the official website, where the name of the petitioner figured at Sr. No.2. Candidate at Sr. No.1-Smt. Vanita Dhanju was offered the appointment letter. A public notice was issued which required the selected candidates to join within a period of 15 days, otherwise, the appointments would be deemed to have been cancelled. Smt. Vanita Dhanju did not join the place of her posting i.e. Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Kot Dhandal, Gurdaspur. When the said candidate did not join, petitioner submitted a representation as she was the next in merit to the Chairman, Education Recruitment Board-cum-Principal Secretary School Education, Punjab-respondent No.2 on 02.12.2014 (Annexure P-4) requesting that she should be issued the appointment letter and allowed to join. When no decision was taken, another representation dated 17.02.2015 which has been addressed to the Minister of Education, Punjab (Annexure P-5) that also has not solicited any response.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to file a detailed representation to the Chairman-cum-Principal Secretary, Department of Punjab School Education Department Recruitment Board-respondent No.2 and the Director General School Education, Department of Punjab School Education Recruitment Board-respondent No.3 which will be submitted within a period of one week. He states that the same may be directed to be considered and decided at an early date.

In the light of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a detailed representation to the respondents within a period of one week, if such a representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided by these respondents within a period of two weeks of the date of submission of the said representation.

Decision was taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

March 20, 2015
rittu