

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5123 of 2015
Date of decision: 20.03.2015

Amanpreet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. HS Dhindsa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Father of the petitioner, namely, Jarnail Singh died on 19.05.2008, while he was working as a Secretary in Jandwala Coop. Agricultural Service Society Ltd. i.e. respondent No.4. Petitioner, who happens to be the son of the deceased, has prayed for a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to disburse a sum of ₹ 4,23,780/-, part of the gratuity and leave encashment that was due to the deceased. It is maintained that prior to the institution of this petition, petitioner had even represented to respondents No.2 to 4 vide representation dated 14.07.2014 (Annexure P5), but the same has failed to evoke any response.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition, at this stage, be disposed of with a direction to

respondents No.2 to 4 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within a stipulated period of time.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merits, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as set out in the representation dated 14.07.2014 (Annexure P7), strictly in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

March 20, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge