

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No.5065 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 19.03.2015**

Amar Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved of the action of the respondents of not giving him a more sympathetic posting. In this regard he is stated to have made many representations, the last one being Annexure P-10 dated 10.03.2015. Learned counsel states that as per his information the representation Annexure P-10 has been summarily rejected and prays that at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to reconsider the said representation and pass a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request.

In the circumstances this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 (that if the above facts are correct) to re-consider the representation (Annexure P-10) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereon within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that once the petitioner is relieved, the relief granted may be rendered infructuous. It is clarified that even if the petitioner is relieved, it would be no impediment to the considering of his representation as per law.

A copy of this order be handed over dasti to the learned counsel under the signature of the Bench Secretary.

March 19, 2015

Sunita

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**