

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.6283 of 2014

Date of Decision: 13.03.2015

Saneh Lata

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Pathania, D.A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of present petitioner is squarely covered by judgment passed in **CWP No.1169 of 2011** titled as **Balwinder Kaur vs The State of Punjab and others** and other connected matters, decided on **16.12.2014** and the present case could not be taken up on that date as it was not listed. He also submits that even the number of **Balwinder Kaur's** case (supra) along with other connected matters was mentioned against column "similar case."

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the case of those employees, whose petitions have been allowed, were considered and recommended earlier but the case of the present petitioner could not be recommended as it was not there at the time of recommendation, whereas, the cases of other employees were considered

as per seniority.

Without saying anything on the merits of the case and considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the case of the present petitioner, in view of decision in **Balwinder Kaur's** case (supra) and pass necessary orders in accordance with law as well as judgment in said case, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, before passing any order, the petitioner be also heard and in case, he is found to be entitled as per decision in **Balwinder Kaur's** case (supra), the necessary relief be granted to the petitioner within one month thereafter.

13.03.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE