

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

**Civil Writ Petition No.5051 of 2015
Date of Decision:08.04.2015**

Gurmeet Kaurpetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersrespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Gaurav Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

A writ in the nature of certiorari is prayed for, to quash the advertisement dated 10.07.2013 (Annexure P1), and also the selection process adhered to, by the respondents for appointment of supervisors from amongst the Anganwari workers.

It is averred that vide advertisement published in 'Rozana Ajit' on 10.07.2013 (Annexure P1), 286 posts of Supervisors were advertised. The advertisement envisaged that the candidates who were working as Anganwari workers were eligible to apply. And they were further categorised in two categories i.e.(i) the anganwari workers, who possessed matriculation qualification (ii) anganwari workers, who were graduate (B.A. Pass). Petitioner competed for selection against the said post, and, in the merit list prepared by

respondent No.4, she was placed at Sr.No.5. However, respondent No.3 i.e. Secretary, Child Welfare Council, Punjab, altered the said list, and, resultantly, petitioner was brought down to Sr.No.6. Further, while determining the merit, marks obtained by the petitioner in PSTET as well as CTET, were not factored in by respondents No.3 and 4. As a result, petitioner filed detailed objections dated 22.09.2014 (Annexure P4), as regards the process that was followed, before respondent No.4, but with no tangible result.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at this stage, let this petition be disposed of, only, with a direction to respondent No.4, to consider and decide her objections, within a specified time. And, by passing a speaking order.

That being so, and, without expressing any opinion on merits, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4, to consider and decide the objections dated 22.09.2014 (Annexure P4), preferred by the petitioner, strictly, in accordance with law, within a period of three months, from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed assigning reasons, in support of the decision arrived at.

08.04.2015
neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE