

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.4998 of 2015

Date of Decision : 19.03.2015

Ashok Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vipul Dharmani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for quashing the reply dated 10.12.2013 whereby the respondent No.3 had rejected the legal notice moved by the petitioner for stepping up of his pay to the Minimum Entry Level.

Learned counsel states that the respondent No.3 had recommended to the respondent No.4 vide Annexure P-8 that the necessary relief should be granted to the petitioner. This letter was written on 30.04.2014 and no action having been taken thereon he is before this Court.

In my opinion, the interest of justice would be served, if the

petitioner first move a self-contained representation to the respondent No.4 who shall decide the same by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction that if any such representation is moved by the petitioner within a period of 15 days from today, the respondents No.4 shall decide the same by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt thereof. In case it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any relief the same be disbursed to him within the aforesaid period. Needless to say in case of an adverse order the petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the same.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 19, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**