

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4993 of 2015
Date of decision : 22.07.2015

EASI Jasmer Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Keshav Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to impugn punishment order dated 10.01.2011 (Annexure P-5) and order dated 22.06.2014 (Annexure P-7) passed in appeal.

It has been submitted that vide order dated 10.01.2011 (Annexure P-5), petitioner had taken back into service but punishment of reduction to that of stoppage of three future annual increments with permanent effect from dismissal from service has been imposed and against the aforementioned order, appeal (Annexure P-6) was filed before the

Director General of Police, Haryana, Panchkula and vide order dated

22.06.2014 (Annexure P-7), which without assigning any reasons, much less, cogent reasons, same has been dismissed.

It has submitted that as per the statement of the complainant, star witnesses and other witnesses had not proved the charges levelled against the petitioner.

I have gone through the impugned order dated 22.06.2014 passed by Director General of Police, Haryana and finds that the said order has not been passed by applying judicial mind and inasmuch as no reasons, much less, cogent reasons has been assigned. Rather same is sketchy and mechanical.

The appellate authority is assigned with obligation to decide the appeal pragmatically by dealing with all the grounds of appeal raised therein and yet there is no reference to the same.

Accordingly, the impugned order dated 22.06.2014 (Annexure P-7) is set aside. The matter is remanded back to the Director General of Police, Haryana by restoring the appeal to its original number.

It is expected that respondent No.2 shall decide the appeal of the petitioner by giving reasons, much less, cogent reasons after giving the opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, much less, in accordance with law within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Present writ petition is allowed in the aforementioned terms.

22.07.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**