

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4990 of 2015

Date of Decision: 19.3.2015

Anil Kumar

....Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL.**

PRESENT: Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

1. By way of instant writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to issue possession certificate and to hand over the possession of plot No.1752, Sector 4, Urban Estate, Rewari to him.

2. The respondents have carved out Sector 4, Rewari. Plot No. 1752, Sector 4, Urban Estate, Rewari measuring 420 square meter, i.e. 1 kanal, had been allotted to one Smt. Narvada Yadav wife of Rajbir Singh vide allotment letter dated 1.12.2003 (Annexure P-1). The said plot was purchased by the petitioner from Smt. Narvada Yadav and was transferred in his name by paying transfer fee vide receipt dated 1.6.2012 (Annexure P-2). Respondent No.4 issued re-allotment letter dated 9.7.2012 (Annexure P-3) in favour of the petitioner. In spite of re-

allotment letter in favour of the petitioner, possession of the said plot had not been delivered to him. The petitioner sent a representation dated 23.7.2012 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.4. Since no action was taken on the said representation, the petitioner sent another representation dated 24.6.2014 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.4, but no action has so far been taken thereon.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that for the relief claimed in the writ petition, the petitioner has moved representations (Annexure P-4 and P-5, respectively) to respondent No.4, but no action thereon has been taken so far.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by directing respondent No.4 to take a decision on the representations (Annexures P-4 and P-5, respectively) moved by the petitioner in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to him within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

March 19, 2015
gbs

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE