

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.4918 of 2015
Date of Decision:19/03/2015**

Balbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL) and others ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Animesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was served with a charge-sheet dated 20.2.2014 (Annexure P-2) to which he has submitted a reply dated 4.9.2014 (Annexure P-4). The grievance of the petitioner is that even after the passage of over six months, no final decision has been taken by respondent No.1 on the reply submitted by the petitioner to the charge-sheet served upon him.

The delay in taking a decision on the reply submitted by the petitioner is certainly acting to his prejudice.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I direct respondent No.1 to take a final decision on the reply submitted by the petitioner to the charge-sheet served upon him expeditiously but not later than six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that a copy of the final decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner.

Disposed of in the above terms.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

19.03.2015