

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4900 of 2015

Date of Decision:19/03/2015

Vijay Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 2.4.2013 (Annexure P-7), served by the learned counsel for the petitioners. I find the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners to be reasonable.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take decision on the legal notice dated 2.4.2013 (Annexure P-7), served by learned counsel for the petitioners, by passing a speaking order, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that a copy of the final decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

19.03.2015

rajeev