

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: MARCH 18, 2015

Gursharan Kumar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Patiala and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Saravpreet S. Gurna, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the impugned orders have been passed by the respondents, which although may be sustainable but the calculations with regard to the amount due from the petitioner are not as per the regulations of the respondent-Corporation as also the Punjab State Electricity Regulatory Commission. Highlighting this aspect, the petitioner has submitted a detailed representation dated 10.02.2015 (Annexure P-18) to the Designated Authority-cum-Deputy Chief Engineer (respondent No.3), who may be directed to consider the same and pass an appropriate order in accordance with said regulations.

In view of the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Designated Authority-cum-

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.4879 OF 2015

:{ 2 }:

Deputy Chief Engineer (respondent No.3) to consider and decide the representation dated 10.02.2015 (Annexure P-18), which is stated to have been submitted by the petitioner, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

In case the claim of the petitioner or part thereof is accepted and some excessive amount is found to have been recovered from the petitioner, the same be refunded to him within a further period of four weeks.

March 18, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE