

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.4834 of 2015

Date of Decision : 18.03.2015

M/s Atlanta Electricals (P) Ltd.

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. H.B.S. Baidwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the order passed by the District Judge, Panchkula under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

At the very outset, learned counsel prays that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file an appeal under Section 37 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

Allowed as prayed for. Dismissed as withdrawn.

The second prayer made is that the limitation be suitably extended. The petitioner has the right to move an application for deducting the period spent in this Court along with his appeal. In the circumstances,

there is no occasion for me to grant him any extension of limitation.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 18, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**