

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.4818 of 2015

Date of Decision: March 18, 2015

Rajneesh Jain

.....Petitioner

versus

Union Territory of Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present:Mr.Sushil Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner, on the basis of his own interpretation of Clause-7 of “General Instructions to Applicants” appended with the Car Bazar Policy, apprehends that he might not be treated eligible to participate in the public auction.

As of now, we see no reason or basis for such an apprehension. In case the petitioner's application is rejected, we grant him liberty to approach the appropriate forum.

With liberty afore-mentioned, the writ petition stands disposed of.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

March 18, 2015
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE