

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP no.6002 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.02.2015

Maninder Kaur and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

- 1)Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present : Mr.S.P.Garg , Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Ashish Sharma, Addl. AG, Punjab

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The grievance of the petitioners is that they being guest faculty lecturers, their services be not replaced by another set of employees by substitution.

Reply filed by the respondents, in particular, para 5 thereto would reveal that such a course has not been adopted by the respondents.

For the purposes of reference, para 5 is extracted herebelow:-

5. That in view of judgment noted above and considering the facts of the present case, the case of the petitioners, shall be dealt with accordingly provided work is available with the department and the petitioners have worked to the satisfaction of the authority. Availability of posts and the eligibility of the petitioners shall be above factors to be taken into consideration. However, so long as the work is

available, the petitioners shall be allowed to work.”

In view of the aforesaid stand taken by the respondents, instant
petition is disposed of.

February 20, 2015
rekha

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE