

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.4771 of 2015

Date of Decision : 18.03.2015

Anil Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. N.D. Achint, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to decide the appeal dated 30.10.2013 (Annexure P-2).

The petitioner was removed from service. It is stated that two appeals were filed before the respondent No.2 i.e. one by the petitioner and one by the respondent No.3-Management. It is further stated that arguments were finally heard on that appeal on 12.05.2014 but no decision has been taken thereon and the petitioner is on the road. In this connection, the petitioner is stated to have made many representations and the latest one being Annexure P-8. The limited prayer made by the learned counsel is

that respondent No.3 may be directed to decide the said appeal.

I find this to be a fair request. Resultantly, it is directed that in case the above mentioned facts are correct the respondent No.3 shall decide the said appeal in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

March 18, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**