

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.209

**C.W.P. No.5991 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision:22.04.2015**

Jagat Ram

.....Petitioner

versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Y.P. Khullar, Advocate
for the respondents.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.

For the grievance made in the present petition, the petitioner has made a representation dated 26.12.2013 (Annexure P-5) to the respondents. The representation has been considered and favourably recommended by respondent No.3 through his letter dated 26.12.2013 (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied if his representation dated 26.12.2013 (Annexure P-5) along with recommendations of respondent No.3 of even date (Annexure P-6) be considered and decided by respondent No.1.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 26.12.2013 (Annexure P-5) along with recommendations of respondent No.3 of even date (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

April 22, 2015
Jyoti 1