

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: MARCH 17, 2015

Sandeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Yogesh Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner applied for issuance of an arm licence vide application, which was submitted in the month of May 2014 against File No.351 in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Karnal. When no decision was taken thereon, the petitioner submitted a representation to the Deputy Commissioner, Karnal, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-3. Thereafter, legal notice, through counsel, dated 02.02.2015 (Annexure P-4) has also been served upon respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner-cum-Licensing Authority, Karnal, but that also has not been responded to.

Counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Licensing Authority, Karnal (respondent No.2) to consider and decide the legal notice

dated 02.02.2015 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner, within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Licensing Authority, Karnal (respondent No.2) to consider and decide the legal notice dated 02.02.2015 (Annexure P-4) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

March 17, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE