

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : 19.03.2015

1. **CWP-6875-2013(O&M)**

Ram Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

2. **CWP-7266-2013(O&M)**

Kapoor Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

3. **CWP-7272-2013(O&M)**

Medh Singh @ Medu and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

4. **CWP-9274-2013**

Amba Promoters and Developers Private Limited

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

5. **CWP-7295-2013(O&M)**

Om Parkash and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

6.

CWP-7310-2013(O&M)

Het Ram and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

7.

CWP-7312-2013(O&M)

Ashok Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

8.

CWP-7313-2013(O&M)

Phool Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

9.

CWP-7317-2013(O&M)

Dhani and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

10.

CWP-8820-2013(O&M)

Kulbir Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

11.

CWP-8899-2013(O&M)

Sanjeet and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL***

Present: Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, Senior Advocate
with Mr.Kartik Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Aashish Chopra, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.(ORAL)

Challenge in the aforesaid writ petitions is to notification dated 14.07.2011 (Annexure P2) issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (in short 'the Act') followed by notification dated 28.03.2012 issued under Section 6 of the Act (Annexure P4) on various grounds pleaded in these writ petitions.

2. In view of affidavit of Sh.R.K.Singh, Additional Director, Urban Estate Department, Haryana, Panchkula filed on 27.02.2015, it is not necessary to delve into detail facts involved in these writ petitions. In the affidavit of Sh.R.K.Singh, para 5 thereof reads thus:-

“5. That as per the decision of the Government, the land forming part of 11 CWPs which falls in Sector 27-A beyond the alignment of sector dividing road and its 30 m green belt will be released. A list of these 11 CWPs vis-a-vis approximate area which will be released is

given below in the table:-

<i>Sr.No.</i>	<i>CWP No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Approximate area in Kanal-Marla, which will be released being part of sector 27-A, Rohtak</i>
<i>1</i>	<i>6875 of 2013</i>	<i>Ram Kumar & Ors. Vs. State</i>	<i>23K-11M</i>
<i>2</i>	<i>7266 of 2013</i>	<i>Kapoor Singh Vs. State</i>	<i>3K-18M</i>
<i>3</i>	<i>7272 of 2013</i>	<i>Medh Singh & Ors. Vs. State</i>	<i>19K-08M</i>
<i>4</i>	<i>7295 of 2013</i>	<i>Om Parkash & Ors. Vs. State</i>	<i>35K-12M</i>
<i>5</i>	<i>7310 of 2013</i>	<i>Het Ram & Ors. Vs. State</i>	<i>9K-12M</i>
<i>6</i>	<i>7312 of 2013</i>	<i>Ashok Kumar & Ors. Vs. State</i>	<i>6K-14M</i>
<i>7</i>	<i>7313 of 2013</i>	<i>Phool Kanwar & Ors. Vs. State</i>	<i>6K-14M</i>
<i>8</i>	<i>7317 of 2013</i>	<i>Dani & Ors. Vs. State</i>	<i>13K-06M</i>
<i>9</i>	<i>8820 of 2013</i>	<i>Kulbir Singh & Ors. Vs. State</i>	<i>3K-00M</i>
<i>10</i>	<i>8899 of 2013</i>	<i>Sanjit & Ors. Vs. State</i>	<i>9K-07M</i>
<i>11</i>	<i>9274 of 2013</i>	<i>M/s Amba Promoters Vs. State</i>	<i>15K-16M</i>
<i>GRAND TOTAL</i>			<i>146K-18M (18.362 acres)</i>

It is pertinent to mention here that out of an area measuring 21.386 acres which will be released in Sector 27-A, Rohtak, an area measuring 18.362 acres relates to the petitioners of aforesaid 11 CWPs and rest of the area measuring 3.024 acres relates to other landowners / non-petitioners. Further that the release of land order in favour of individual land owner/ petitioner will be issued after decision of this Hon'ble High Court.”

3. In the aforesaid para 5 of the affidavit dated 27.02.2015, it has been accepted by the State that in the writ petitions mentioned therein, the

land shall be released.

4. In view of the contents of para 5 of the aforesaid affidavit, counsel for the petitioners states that since the State has agreed to release the land subject matter of these writ petitions, the writ petitions have become infructuous.

5. As such the aforesaid writ petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

6. Needless to say that as accepted in para 5 of the aforesaid affidavit, the State shall pass necessary orders in terms thereof expeditiously.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

March 19, 2015.

Davinder Kumar