

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4678 of 2015
Date of decision : July 28, 2015

Rajesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:-

Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek S Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V. Ramswaroop, Additional Advocate
General, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjay Tangri, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that in pursuance to the order dated 6.5.2015 the workman has joined the services. Mr. V. Ramswaroop, Additional Advocate General, Punjab submits an application signed by Labour Inspector-II, Balachaur that the workman has joined. The same is taken on record. This fact is also endorsed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 and has shown copy of the attendance sheet.

Since grievance of the petitioner stands redressed as the petitioner sought intervention of this Court for seeking

reference of the Labour Court.

In view of the aforementioned fact, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

July 28, 2015
archana