

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4654 of 2015

Date of Decision:.17.08.2015

Arun Raheja

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction of respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 04.09.2014 (Annexure P-5) issued on behalf of the petitioner. I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 04.09.2014 (Annexure P-5) issued on behalf of the petitioner by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within three

months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

17.08.2015
rimpal