

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

CWP No. 5870 of 2014. [O&M]
Date of Decision:07th May, 2015.

Heidelberg Cement India Limited

Petitioner through
Mr. P.K.Mutneja, Advocate

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors. Respondents through
Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Addl.AG, Haryana.

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

The petitioner has questioned the *vires* of the Indian Stamp [Haryana Amendment] Act No. 17 of 2013 notified on 01.10.2013.

Learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana has placed on record the subsequent legislation, namely, Haryana Stamp [Haryana Amendment] Act, 2015, i.e., Act No. 3 of 2015, notified on 30.04.2015, whereby Schedule IA of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 in respect of 'proper stamp duty' against Article 27 has been further amended thereby taking away the effect of impugned amendment.

In view of the above mentioned later amendment, learned counsel for the parties have rightly stated that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly. Needless to say that all the consequences including return of the Bank Guarantee etc. shall follow.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

May 07, 2015.
dinesh

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**