

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No.459 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 21.05.2015

Satish Chandra and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. A.S. Tewatia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State has brought to the notice of this Court the order passed in C.W.P. No. 692 of 2015, wherein, writ petition was disposed of with certain directions. The relevant directions are reproduced as under:-

- a) In case the petitioners/private respondents/effectuated persons file the objections to the tentative seniority list, the same shall be considered and decided by the respondents passing a detailed and reasoned order in accordance with law. In essence, petitioners shall be given opportunity of hearing;
- b) Till the seniority list is finalized, in order to avoid the complicity and anomalous situation, much less, discrimination,

the State shall not take any action in pursuance to the impugned seniority list;

c) It is expected that the State shall undertake the exercise of considering the directions within a reasonable time, preferably within a period of three months.

In view of directions reproduced hereinabove, the present petition also stands disposed of with the above said directions. However, the petitioners are directed to file objections within a period of one month, which shall be decided by the respondents within a period of three months thereafter.

May 21, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE