

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6655 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision.20.01.2015

Reena Kumari

.....Petitioner

Versus

Chaudhary Devi Lal University and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Ms. Rajni Bala, Advocate
for respondent Nos.3 and 4.

Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.7738 of 2013

Application is allowed.

Written statement filed on behalf of respondent No.5 is taken
on record.

CWP No.6655 of 2013

1. During the pendency of the writ petition, the petitioner was given admission which was refused to her originally on the ground that she had not attached the certificate to say that her parents did not come within the creamy layer. She was staking her claim for reserved category under BC-A category. Learned counsel for the respondents says that the

Academic Council has approved of the admission granted to the petitioner and no further directions are necessary. I have taken notice of the petitioner as having been admitted to the course and she is continuing. The impugned order will not be given effect against the petitioner.

2. The writ petition is allowed on the above terms.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

January 20, 2015
Pankaj*