

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.4448 of 2015

Date of Decision: 28.04.2015

Vinod Kumar Tandon and another

..... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 & 4.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Mr. K.K.Gupta, Advocate for respondent Nos.5 and 6.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

It is averred that a portion of a residential premises of private respondent Nos.5 and 6 was sealed due to the unauthorized commercial activities in a residential premises, in compliance of the proceedings before this Court.

The grievance of the petitioners in the present writ petition is that in spite of no change in the circumstances, the Commissioner, Ambala Division in the pending appeal filed by respondent Nos.5 and 6 against the sealing order, has illegally permitted the opening of the seal vide interim order dated 20.01.2015.

Upon notice, the parties have put in appearance through their respective Counsel.

Contesting respondent Nos.5 and 6 have also filed their reply stating that the said appeal was filed pursuant to the liberty granted by this Court, vide order dated 21.11.2014 (**Annexure P-7**).

Learned Counsel for the respondents further states that the present petitioner have since been arrayed as one of the respondents in the pending appeal filed by respondent Nos.5 and 6 before the Commissioner, Ambala Division and, therefore, the pleas taken in the present writ petition can very well be agitated before the said Forum.

Upon hearing learned Counsel for the parties and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has since been impleaded as a party, it is deemed expedient to dispose of the writ petition as having become infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to take the pleas before the Appellate Authority in the pending appeal filed by present respondent Nos.5 and 6. It is hoped that same shall be considered by passing speaking orders in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

April 28, 2015
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE