

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4360-2015

Date of decision : 12.03.2015

RAM SACHDEVA

..... PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Arun Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

For the grievance raised in this writ petition the petitioner is stated to have made a representation to the respondent No.4-school and the school had forwarded the same to the competent authority by document Annexure P-6 and despite further reminders having been sent no action has been taken thereon. Learned counsel states that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take a decision on his recommendation by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request.

In the circumstances this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the said recommendation (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

**March 12, 2015
sunita**