

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SANJEEV KUMAR
2015-01-14 11:45

CWP No.428 of 2015

Date of Decision.13.01.2015

Mahinder Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Present: Ms. Anjali Kukar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ? No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? No

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner's prayer in the writ petition is to direct the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to register FIR against the 5th respondent under the various provisions of IPC. If the complaint for cognizable offences is made to an officer which is not registered, the petitioner will have a remedy to escalate his own complaint to higher authorities in the manner contemplated under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. and if still not successful, the petitioner will have the right to approach the jurisdictional Magistrate under Section 200 Cr.P.C and seek for registration of the complaint.

2. The intervention through the writ petition is not necessary.

The writ petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 13, 2015

Pankaj*