

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4251 of 2015
Date of decision: 12.03.2015

Visakh Singh Sahota and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, directing the respondents to revise the pay scale of the petitioners at par with their counter-parts i.e. Clerks and Junior Assistants, working in the same department and performing the similar duties. And whose pay scales have been revised by the respondents vide letter dated 15.12.2011 (Annexure P3). It is maintained that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had represented to respondent No.2, vide representation dated 06.01.2015 (Annexure P4), but the same has failed to evoke any response.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, at this stage, let this petition be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide their claim within a stipulated period of time.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merits, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, as set out in the representation dated 06.01.2015 (Annexure P4), strictly in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

March 12, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge