

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

F.A.O. No.6518 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.01.2015

Bhupinder Singh Bedi

....Appellant

v.

Mohammad Shabir and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present: Mr. Nitin Goyal, Advocate for Mr. Sanjiv Gupta,
Advocate for the appellant.

Navita Singh, J.

Today again, it is submitted that the arguing counsel is in personal difficulty and adjournment is sought. The record shows that when the matter was listed for the first time on 31.1.2012, no one was present for the appellant and the matter was adjourned. Thereafter, proxy counsel sought adjournment and the matter was adjourned further. On 10.10.2012, counsel for the appellant appeared himself but requested for adjournment. The matter was adjourned on his request to 8.2.2013 on which date, similar request was made by him and the matter was adjourned to 25.7.2013.

On 25.7.2013, no one appeared for the appellant even on second call. However, the matter was still adjourned further to 25.11.2013 on which date, again no one was appeared.

On 22.8.2014, proxy counsel made request for adjournment and today the position is the same. From the details given above, it is absolutely clear that counsel for the appellant is not interested even in getting notice of motion issued in the appeal because he has not been appearing.

Dismissed.

**(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 20, 2015

renu