

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No. 3897 of 2015
Date of Decision : 07.04.2015**

Raj Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

Union of India & others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

The claim in the present petition is for grant of 2nd ACP.

Learned counsel states that in this regard the petitioner has also moved a Legal Notice dated 19.12.2014 (Annexure P-1) and that he would be satisfied at this stage if in the first instance a direction is issued to the respondent No.3 to decide the same by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. Resultantly, the respondent No.3 is directed to decide the said legal notice by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

07.04.2015

Pooja Sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**