

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.3800 of 2015
Date of Decision: March 02, 2015

Vikas

.....Petitioner

versus

Board of School Education

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI.

Present:Mr.Manoj Chahal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner undoubtedly is a brilliant student and owing to his consistent performance, hard work, dedication and commitment, we have no reason to doubt that he shall achieve the desired heights. While the petitioner may have some grievance against evaluation and re-evaluation of his performance in the English paper but it is very difficult to doubt the procedure which the respondent-Board has apparently adopted while re-evaluating that paper. Similarly, there is a logic behind the Rule which does not permit re-evaluation of a paper where the candidate has already secured 90% or above marks. The variation in assessment in the range of 10% is always attributable to the distinct human nature.

Least any action of this Court or the authorities distract the mind of petitioner-student, we are of the view that this Court need not to interfere in the matter at this stage.

Dismissed.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

March 02 , 2015
Mohinder

[NARESH KUMAR SANGHI]
JUDGE