

CWP-3720-2015(O&M)
Date of decision : 02.03.2015

KHUB SINGH

..... PETITIONER

VS

HARYANA TOURISM COPORATION LTD. RESPONDENTS
AND ANOTHER

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Anil Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for claiming the benefit of higher standard pay grade after 10/20 years. From the record it emerges that an adverse Annual Confidential Report was served upon the petitioner for the year 1987-88 and another one for the year 2000-2001. As regards the first ACR it is the grouse of the petitioner that a representation against the same has not been decided till today and as regards the second , the grouse is that the representation against the second ACR was wrongly rejected. It, however, has to be noticed that the said rejection also came more than 10 years ago.

In the circumstances the petition is ex facie barred by gross delay and latches. Learned counsel has argued that the petitioner had filed a civil suit also but the same was withdrawn to file the present writ petition. I am afraid this explanation would not serve for the purpose of condoning the delay and latches. In the circumstances the petition is dismissed.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGEMarch 02, 2015
sunita