

CWP-4944-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.07.2015

KAILASH NATH

..... PETITIONER

VS

MANAGING DIRECTOR, UHBVN & ORS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Chug, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S.Poonia, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the dismissal order. Learned counsel for the respondents states that as per the Rules an appeal is provided. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in that case he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file appeal but states that the respondents should be precluded from taking the plea of limitation. Learned counsel for the respondents has very fairly stated that in case the petitioner now files an appeal within a month from today, the said appeal will be decided on merits and will not be rejected on the ground of limitation.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

July 17, 2015

Pooja Sharma-I/sunita

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**