

CWP No. 3685 of 2015
Date of Decision: May 8, 2015

Sumit

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Vikas Lochab, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Keshav Gupta, AAG., Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed against the orders dated 6.9.2012 and 18.3.2014 (Annexure p-1) whereby the statutory appeal and revision filed by the petitioner against the order of dismissal has been dismissed by the authorities whereby the punishment of stoppage of five increments with permanent effect has been imposed.

Mr. Vikas Lochab, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the impugned orders passed by the authorities are non-speaking, sketchy and have been passed in a mechanical and shoddy manner.

I have seen the orders. The orders have not been

passed by dealing with all the contentions raised by the petitioner in the grounds of appeal and revision. Thus are wanting reasons much less there is any application of mind. In view of my observations, I deem it appropriate to set aside the order without calling upon the reply from the State. The orders dated 6.9.2012 and 18.3.2014 are set aside. The matter is remitted back to the Inspector General of Police, Rohtak Range, Rohtak to decide the statutory appeal of the petitioner afresh by taking into consideration all the points raised in the grounds of appeal.

It is expected that the Inspector General of Police, Rohtak Range, Rohtak shall pass the order in a most reasonable manner and in accordance with law after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The writ petition is accordingly allowed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 8, 2015
archana