

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3679 of 2015
Date of decision: 02.03.2015

Devi Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. PS Khurana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, directing the respondents to award additional increments to the petitioner, under the Assured Career Progression Scheme, on completion of 4, 9, 14 years of service along with all consequential benefits. It is maintained that the petitioner had repeatedly represented in this regard but to no avail. So much so, even a notice of demand of justice dated 17.10.2014 (Annexure P3) has failed to evoke any response.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at this stage, let this petition be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner within a stipulated period of time.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merits, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as set out in the notice dated 17.10.2014 (Annexure P3), in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

March 2, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge