

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3671 of 2015
Date of decision: March 2, 2015

Sonika Gill

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. R. N. Lohan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer in the present writ petition for appropriate directions to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice (Annexure P-5).

The present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the legal notice (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate decision in accordance with law preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted then consequential benefits, if any, be given to the petitioner within a further period of two months. In case the respondents do not grant any relief then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and

conveyed to the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 2, 2015
archana