

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

213

Civil Writ Petition No.5838 of 2013

Date of Decision: 17th March, 2015

Smt. Geeta Devi Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Daya Chaudhary

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. K.L. Dhingra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by judgement passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in case titled as **'State of Punjab and others vs. Rafiq Masih' 2015 (1) SCT 195.**

Learned State counsel has not disputed the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of submission made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in terms of aforesaid decision and grant necessary relief within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to move representation before the authorities concerned.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

17.03.2015