

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

Civil Writ Petition No.3635 of 2015

Date of Decision: March 02, 2015

Rahul

...Petitioner

Versus

Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Sirsa and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that in the examination which was held in May, 2014 for Class B.A.-III, 6th Semester, petitioner appeared in the same under Roll No.11102430784 issued by Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Sirsa. He was declared fail in the Political Science paper having obtained only 22 marks in theory and 18 marks in assessment out of 100 marks, totalling 40. Petitioner instead of availing of the remedy of reevaluation/rechecking of his answer-sheet, filed an application under the Right to Information Act in July, 2014, which reveals that question Nos.2 and 9, which the petitioner had attempted were left unchecked. He submitted a representation on 05.08.2014 to the university authorities for highlighting therein the negligence of the Examiner in not checking the questions, which were attempted by the petitioner and leaving them unchecked. No response was received then a reminder dated 17.09.2014 was addressed to the respondents-university authorities but that again was when not responded to, petitioner had filed

representations to various authorities. When nothing was heard, petitioner has now approached this Court by way of the present writ petition for directing the respondents to reevaluate/recheck the answers of the petitioner, which were left unchecked.

This request of the petitioner cannot be accepted at this belated stage, specially when he has not availed of his remedy which is admittedly available to him under the University Calendar and Regulation. It is still, however, kept open to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authorities under the University Calendar for redressal of his grievance. In case he makes such a representation within a period of one week, the competent authority shall look into the same and if permissible under the University Statute/Regulations, consider the same sympathetically.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

March 02, 2015

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**