

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CWP No.3518 of 2015

Date of decision:13.08.2015

Bharat Bhushan Singla

... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, DAG, Haryana, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for HUDA.

...

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of HUDA very fairly states that in view of the judgment of this Court passed in *HUDA v. Sandeep & another, 2012 (1) LAR 475*, the petitioner would be entitled to be considered for allotment being an oustee. Needless to add that the application of the petitioner therefore shall be considered in accordance with law in respect of the advertisement at Annexure P-6.

Disposed of.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

13.08.2015

harjeet