

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 5674 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 11, 2015

Satyawan,

..... Petitioner

v.

GJ University of Sci & Technology Hisar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. RA Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Gaurav Jain, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

Mr. Rajbir Sehrawat, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

On 2.2.2015, the following order was passed :-

“ Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has the instructions to say that the petitioner, as a matter of fact, appeared in the interview, whereas official record of the respondent-University has shown him absent at the time of interview.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that to resolve this controversy, let the original record of the respondent-University be summoned.

Learned counsel for the respondent-University to

ensure the availability of original record pertaining to the selection in question.

Learned counsel for respondent no.5 submits that he does not intend to file any separate reply on behalf of respondent no.5 and adopts the reply already filed on behalf of the respondent-University.

List on 11.5.2015”

Today, counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 has shown the original record of the interview to counsel for the petitioner. Counsel for the petitioner has very fairly accepted that even in the original record, the petitioner was shown to be absent.

In these circumstances, this writ petition is dismissed.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 11, 2015
`kk'