

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 26.02.2015

CWP No. 3442 of 2015

Nathu Ram

----Petitioner

Versus

Food Corporation of India and others

-----Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Harinder Singh Sidhu

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sparsh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

In the instant Civil Writ Petition directions to decide Review Petition dated 08.02.2008 (Annexure P-2) have been sought.

Vide order dated 21.09.2006 a penalty of recovery equivalent to reduction in time scale of pay by three stages for a period of three years with cumulative effect was imposed upon the petitioner. He preferred statutory appeal dated 18.12.2006 and the same was also rejected vide order dated 6.11.2007. Thereafter, the petitioner preferred a statutory review petition on 08.02.2008 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that despite a lapse of more than seven years, no decision has been taken on the review petition filed by the petitioner. He further submits that he would be satisfied if a time bound direction is given to the respondents to take action on the review petition filed by the

In view of the above, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the review petition expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

26.02.2015

Atul

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE