

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4603-CAT of 2014
Date of Decision:- 28.04.2015.

Dr. Alka Sehgal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. O.S. Batalvi, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for
respondent Nos.2 and 3.

None for respondent No.4.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the reasons mentioned in the order of even date passed in CWP No.13917-CAT of 2013, the instant writ petition has also become infructuous except that the petitioner would further raise her claim for promotion with retrospective effect.

With liberty to stake claim as stated above, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

April 28, 2015.

sandeep sethi