

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.3313 of 2015

Date of Decision : 02.03.2015

Rakesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

The Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel states that in the index instead of the Punjab and Haryana High Court the State of Haryana has been mentioned and the same may be corrected.

Allowed as prayed for. Registry is directed to make necessary corrections in the index.

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the action of the respondents in not relieving him and to permit him to join his new employment.

On the last date the following query was put:-

“The petitioner shall state as to how an employee could be relieved from service when departmental proceedings for alleged misconduct are pending against him.”

Learned counsel has fairly stated that he has found no authority or judgment which would say that an employee has a right to be relieved even when departmental proceedings are pending against him.

In the circumstances, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 02, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**