

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3306 of 2015 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision:04.10.2016**

Ajmer Singh and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

Central Warehousing Corporation and others

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

Present : Mr. S.K. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate for the respondents.

**P.B. BAJANTHRI J.**

In the instant writ petition, the petitioners have made following prayers:

*“i) issue a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned action of the respondent corporation for permitting the outside fresh labour workers to perform the duties of handling labourers, whereas the petitioners are working for more than 20 years at Narwana Depot of respondent corporation and the impugned action of the respondent authorities in not restricting the labour contractors/respondent No.4 from replacing the similar set of workers with similar set of workers being violative of the settled law; ii) for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to permit the petitioners to perform the job of handling labourers as they are performing the duties for about 25 years and for further directing the respondents not to violate at the settled principle of law as the similar set of workers cannot be replaced with another similar set of workers as the names of the petitioners are given in the list in Annexure P-5 prepared by the contractor and submitted to the respondent authorities;”*

There is no specific action of the respondent-corporation is under challenge. Consequently, the petition is not maintainable. Even for seeking writ of mandamus, there is no specific prayer.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed with liberty to the

petitioners to file fresh petition with appropriate prayer.

04.10.2016  
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)  
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned                      Yes/No

Whether reportable                                Yes/No