

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh

CWP-4469-2014

Decided on:29.01.2015

Shyam Lal Petitioner(s)

vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondent(s)

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present Mr.Lalit Rishi, Advocate
for Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. M.K.Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Servedaman Rathore, Advocate for respondent no.2.
Mr. H.N.Mehtani, Advocate for respondent no.3.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent no.2, while referring to the averments taken in short reply dated 23.09.2014 by way of affidavit of Principle Secretary, respondent no.2, submits that since before filing the present writ petition, respondent no.4 had been offered an appointment and pursuant thereto he had joined as well on 01.03.2014 i.e before filing the present writ petition, the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of, as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner could not deny the correctness of the statement made by learned counsel for respondent no.2, however, he seeks liberty to the petitioner to pursue his remedy in accordance with law.

In view of the above stand taken by the learned counsel for the parties, present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

29.01.2015
mamta

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE