

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3197 of 2015
Date of Decision:- 08.04.2015.

Maninder Deep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. D.S. Sobti, Advocate for the petitioner.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved by order dated 09.02.2015, whereby, he has been informed that the highest bid given by him in respect of plot SCO Plot No.195, Mandi Salem Tabri, Ludhiana has not been accepted and the amount deposited by him has been returned.

The petitioner has two-fold grievances, namely:-

- (i) That the highest bid given by him has been rejected without assigning any reason contrary to the dictum of the Full Bench of this Court in **Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others** AIR 2007 Punjab and Haryana 167(1).
- (ii) The complainant, who has allegedly offered ₹15,00,000/- more than the highest bid of the petitioner, was not present and did not participate in the auction.

It is further contended that the auction was earlier confirmed in

favour of the petitioner, hence, it could not be unilaterally canceled without observing principles of natural justice.

During the course of hearing and having realized that some of the issues raised by him can be effectively gone into by the higher Authorities in Government on summoning the record and/or by invoking any other fair mode of adjudication, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks and is permitted to withdraw the writ petition at this stage with liberty to make a comprehensive representation to the Principal Secretary, Department of Colonization, Punjab. If such a representation is made by the petitioner, we direct the aforesaid Authority to decide the same by passing a speaking order and after giving personal hearing to the petitioner as well as the complainant, who might come forward, in accordance with law, besides keeping public interest in view. The order shall be passed within a period of two months from the date of submission of the representation.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

April 08, 2015.

sandeep sethi