IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3178 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision : 09.03.2015

Subhash Chand **..... Petitioner**

VS

Union of India and others **..... Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

***

Present: Mr. Rajeev Anand , Advocate for the petitioner.

***

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

***

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

For the grievances raised in this writ petition the petitioner has got issued a legal notice dated 21.09.2014(Annexure P-15). Learned counsel for the petitioner states at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time since similarly situated persons have been given the benefit almost three years ago.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to

SUNITA NAGPAL
2015.03.13 11:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authrnticityy of this document
Chandigarh

respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice(Annexure P-15) of the petitioner by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and in case the petitioner is found entitled to the relief claimed, grant him the same within another period of one month thereafter. It is made clear that since the relief claimed in this petition would be in the shape of monetary benefits, in case any due payment is not made within the aforesaid time, the petitioner would be entitled to claim the same with interest at the rate of 8% p.a. from the date/s the payment/s fell due.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

March 09, 2015
sunita

SUNITA NAGPAL
2015.03.13 11:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authrnticityy of this document
Chandigarh