

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 3174 of 2015
Date of Decision: February 23, 2015

Noti Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release benefits due under the Assured Progression Scheme to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already moved a legal notice dated 11.09.2014 (Annexure P/6), but no action has been taken thereon. Learned counsel confines his prayer for a direction to the respondents to decide his legal notice (Annexure P/6) within a time-framed period.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, however, without commenting on merits of the

case and without giving notice to the respondents, instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents, to look into the grievance of the petitioner specifically made in the legal notice (Annexure P/6) and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law. Needful shall be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and copy of the order so passed shall be communicated to the petitioner forthwith.

February 23, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge