

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3133 of 2015

Date of Decision.23.02.2015

Shri Guru Granth Sahib, Iban Kalan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Shrimoni Gurudawara Management Committee through its Secretary and
others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The writ petition is founded on a plea that the impugned notice dated 28.11.2014 issued by SGPC for election to the office of President fixed to take place on 05.12.2014 is not valid. The petitioner is not prepared to give out information about what had happened on 05.12.2014. If any President has been elected after an election, there are provisions for challenging the election under the relevant Rules and it cannot be brought by means of writ petition. The petitioner states that he will secure information under RTI to inform the Court about what had happened on 05.12.2014. If the petitioner is successful in obtaining any appropriate information or come out with full details of how an election took place or how the election is bad and how without even resorting to an appropriate action for challenging the said action through an election petition the writ petition could be filed, the

petitioner would be entitled to approach the Court again. As of now, I find no cause for intervention.

2. The writ petition is disposed of.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

February 23, 2015
Pankaj*