

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

230

Civil Writ Petition No.4354 of 2014

Date of Decision: 12th March, 2015

Satish Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Daya Chaudhary

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgments should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to compute the work charge service rendered by the petitioner towards pension and pensionary benefits in view of judgement passed in case 'Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others vs. Bachana Singh (Annexure P-1)'. His further prayer is also to count the service for additional increment after 8/18 years and Higher Standard Pay Scale and to release the arrears of pay and pension along with interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner retired on 31.08.2012 after attaining the age of superannuation but pensionary benefits have not been released so far. Learned counsel also submits that not only oral request was

made but a written representation dated 30.11.2013 was also made which is annexed

as Annexure P-6 with the petition. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the directions are issued to the respondents to decide his representation(Annexure P-6) in accordance with judgement passed in Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam case (supra) as well as instructions which are annexed as Annexures P-3 to P-5 and P-7 with the petition.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the representation(Annexure P-6) of the petitioner in accordance with judgement passed in Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam case (supra) as well as instructions which are annexed as Annexures P-3 to P-5 and P-7 with the petition. The necessary exercise be made within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, the petitioner is found entitled for the claim, the same be released within a period of 02 months thereafter.

12.03.2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE