

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 3094 of 2015
Decided on : 11.08.2015**

Tarjit Kaur

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjari Nehru Kaul, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Rupinder S. Khosla, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HEMANT GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-claimant has sought a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to forward the reference application of the petitioner under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to the learned Reference Court and also to make payment of compensation on account of acquisition of Fish Pond, Gate and trees etc.

Learned counsel for the respondents pointed out that the Reference has since been made to the District Judge, SAS Nagar (Mohali) in terms of Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

In view of the said fact, the first prayer of petitioner to forward

her Reference to the Reference Court, does not survive and the writ petition to that extent stands disposed of.

In respect of the prayer of compensation on account of acquisition of Fish Pond, Gate and trees etc., it shall be open to the petitioner to seek remedy in law regarding non-awarding of compensation for such acquired Fish Pond, Gate and trees etc. in the reference made to the Reference Court. Such question cannot be gone into by this Court in exercise of its Writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Writ petition stands disposed of with liberty to seek compensation in respect of Fish Pond, Gate and trees in accordance with law.

(HEMANT GUPTA)
JUDGE

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

August 11, 2015

msd